| 1  | Inition   |   |  |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO             |   |  |
| 3  |   | EASTERN DIVISION  |  |
| 4  | UNITED STATES OF AME  | RICA,   |  |
| 5  | Plaintiff   | ·   |  |
| 6  | VS.   | Akron, Ohio<br>Friday, December 2, 2011<br>2:00 p.m.                      |  |
| 7  | OTTO LINZENBACH,  | 2.00 p.m.   |  |
| 8  | Defendant.  |   |  |
| 9  |   |   |  |
| 10 | TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN R. ADAMS |   |  |
| 11 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  |   |  |
| 12 | APPEARANCES:  |   |  |
| 13 |   | Corol M. Clustoile  |  |
| 14 | For the Government:   | Office of the U.S. Attorney - Cleveland Carl B. Stokes U.S. Courthouse    |  |
| 15 |   | 801 Superior Avenue, West, Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113                |  |
| 16 |   | (216) 622-3600  |  |
| 17 | For the Defendant:  | Edward G. Bryan<br>Carolyn M. Kucharski                                   |  |
| 18 |   | Office of the Federal Public Defender<br>Skylight Office Tower, Suite 750 |  |
| 19 |   | 1660 West Second Street<br>Cleveland, Ohio 44113                          |  |
| 20 |   | (216) 522-4856  |  |
| 21 | Interpreter:  | Tanya Gesse   |  |
| 22 | Court Reporter:   | Caroline Mahnke, RMR, CRR<br>Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse           |  |
| 23 |   | 2 South Main Street, Suite 568  |  |
| 24 |   | Akron, Ohio 44308<br>(330) 252-6021                                       |  |
| 25 | _   | by mechanical stenography; transcript -aided transcription.               |  |

## FRIDAY, DECEMBER 2, 2011 1 2 THE COURT: For the record, the Court has before 3 it today Case Number 1:11CR164-001. The case is United States of America versus Otto Linzenbach. We're here today 4 5 for purposes of sentencing. 6 Before we proceed further, at this time the Court would thank our interpreter, Ms. Tanya Gesse, who is here 8 today to assist the defendant. 9 At this time we would ask that she rise and be sworn. 10 (The interpreter was sworn in.) 11 THE COURT: Mr. Linzenbach, have you had an 12 opportunity to read and review the presentence report with 13 the assistance of an interpreter? 14 THE DEFENDANT: (Through Interpreter) Yes, I have 15 had that opportunity. 16 THE COURT: Have you had a chance to review it 17 with your attorney? 18 THE DEFENDANT: (Through Interpreter) Yes, Your 19 Honor, I have had that opportunity. 2.0 THE COURT: Counsel, have you reviewed the report 21 with your client? 2.2 I have, Your Honor. MR. BRYAN: 23 The report indicates that there are THE COURT: 24 no unresolved objections by the Government, and none by the

defendant to the presentence report.

25

| 1   | Are there any additional objections that the                |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Government wishes to raise at this time?                    |
| 3   | MS. SKUTNIK: No, Your Honor. Thank you.                     |
| 4   | THE COURT: On behalf of the defendant?                      |
| 5   | MR. BRYAN: No, thank you, Your Honor.                       |
| 6   | THE COURT: The Court, of course, is required to             |
| 7   | properly calculate the advisory guideline range. I will     |
| 8   | summarize the calculation set forth in the report at page 8 |
| 9   | and page 9.   |
| LO  | The report is consistent with the recommendation            |
| 11  | contained therein is consistent with the parties' plea      |
| 12  | agreement. It appears the total offense level is a 35.      |
| 13  | Criminal history category is a I. The guideline provisions  |
| L 4 | are 180 to 210 months.                                      |
| L5  | Count 1 carries 15 years to life as a potential             |
| L 6 | sentence.   |
| L7  | Count 2 is 15 to 30 years.                                  |
| L8  | Count 3, the maximum is 30 years.                           |
| L 9 | There is a mandatory minimum of 180 months which            |
| 20  | applies in this case.                                       |
| 21  | Counsel for the Government, any objection to the            |
| 22  | Court's guideline calculation?                              |
| 23  | MS. SKUTNIK: No, Your Honor.                                |
| 24  | THE COURT: On behalf of the defendant?                      |
| 2.5 | MR BRYAN. No. Your Honor                                    |

THE COURT: I have reviewed the report carefully, and I'm prepared to address all of the factors set forth in the sentencing statute after I hear from counsel.

There is, of course, a plea agreement in this matter, a so-called C agreement, by which the parties agree that the appropriate sentence in this case is 15 years or 180 months, which I have previously approved and ratify that I will agree to impose that sentence, consistent with the parties' agreement.

So it's clear for the record, the only remaining issue is whether the defendant has the ability to either pay a fine and/or the costs of the prosecution, etcetera, or in this case the costs of his defense in this matter.

In that regard, as it relates to the cost of his defense, I would just note generally the following: The defendant at the time of his appearance before the magistrate executed an affidavit, a so-called financial affidavit. Rather than address the issue at that time, the magistrate judge deferred and left the issue for me to decide as to whether or not the defendant is fully indigent.

This matter was previously continued to obtain various financial documents and/or information related to the defendant's financial circumstances. Some of those documents were in German, the defendant's homeland, the language of his homeland. And the Court, along with

counsel, jointly reviewed those documents along with the assistance of an interpreter.

I will address that issue further in a few moments. I place that on the record so that the parties may be fully heard with regard to any argument they wish to make as it relates to the defendant's ability to pay for the services of the Federal Defender's Office in this matter.

Thank you.

2.0

2.2

At this time on behalf of the defendant, what if any statement do you wish to make, sir?

MR. BRYAN: Yes, Your Honor. As it relates to the disposition — the punishment phase of the disposition in this case, Your Honor has already alluded to the fact this was a C agreement. Mr. Linzenbach agreed along with the Government to make a joint recommendation of the mandatory minimum sentence in this case of 15 years incarceration.

Since Your Honor has already indicated a willingness to accept the agreement, I don't think it's necessary for me to comment too much regarding the appropriateness of the sentence. I think it's a sentence that is appropriate, however, when you take into consideration the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of Mr. Linzenbach, especially in light of the fact that Mr. Linzenbach has led an otherwise not only

law-abiding life, but apparently an exemplary life based upon everything that I've come to know about him over the time that I've represented him.

2.0

2.2

I've also had the privilege and honor of meeting his wife and also have had the privilege and honor of meeting his two daughters who are both adults and both very successful today.

One of his daughters works for an international law firm in Germany, has the ability to not only speak German, English, but she also speaks Japanese. The other daughter is in advertising with having a degree in economics.

I think most noteworthy about Mr. Linzenbach and his wife's life is the fact that they have appeared throughout their lives to have devoted a tremendous amount to charity, and of their own time to the poor in Mr. Linzenbach's wife's home country of India.

As it relates to the offense conduct itself, there really is no explanation for it at this juncture, considering the fact that Mr. Linzenbach is who he is and the past reflects who he is. I think it is important to note that there was no pornography found on his computer, that there wasn't anything of that nature other than the offense conduct itself.

And again, we're not trying to minimize it in any way.

15 years is not an insignificant amount of time of

incarceration for a person who has never been incarcerated before in their lives. And I think Mr. Linzenbach is paying dearly, has paid dearly, and will continue to pay dearly for his actions in this case.

2.0

2.2

Perhaps Mr. Linzenbach's biggest concern at the time of his arrest and his big concern now was how his family was going to react to his arrest and what had happened. And fortunately for him they've accepted him and continue to love him and continue to support him.

Unlike most of our clients whose families have the ability to meet with them on a regular basis even while they're incarcerated, Mr. Linzenbach's family has been unable to do that, unfortunately, because of the ocean that lies between him and his family.

His wife was able to originally come and visit him, and did so, and actually rented an apartment for a period of time in the Youngstown area so she could visit with him on a regular basis. But after returning back to Germany and then going to the American consulate for another travel visa, she has been denied. We don't know why, but she has been denied several times so she hasn't seen him for several months. His daughters have had an opportunity to visit with him within the past couple months.

So we do request, and the Government indicated in their plea agreement that they would not oppose Mr.

Linzenbach's intent to seek a treaty transfer, and I just wanted to place that on the record.

2.0

2.2

As it relates to his ability to pay for his own defense, it's still our position — first of all, we would submit that the information contained in the presentence investigation report is accurate. And it does accurately reflect Mr. Linzenbach's financial status.

I think it's important to note that Mr. Linzenbach's financial status is also the financial status of his wife. His wife continues to reside in the home that they own, and his wife is the person who is receiving the income that flows from Mr. Linzenbach's retirement.

They do not have the ability to pay a lump sum for legal fees. If, for whatever reason, his wife is able to secure some kind of loan on their real estate, there may be an ability for her to be able to assist Mr. Linzenbach in paying his legal fees, and admittedly that would be coming from his own resources as well.

But, quite frankly, Mr. Linzenbach, for the next several years will not be taking advantage of his own resources. It will be his wife alone who will be dependent upon the resources of the marriage to be able to support herself.

So in essence, I think ordering Mr. Linzenbach to pay the cost of his own defense is going to put a burden not on Mr. Linzenbach but on his family.

And based upon that, I think it would be inappropriate to order him to pay the cost his own defense.

He's already indicated in chambers that his family is willing to come forward, step forward and assist him in that regard if that's the case in order not to delay his transfer to Germany. But as his counsel, I would object for the record that he be ordered to pay the cost of his own defense.

I think indigency is a fluid concept based upon the If Mr. Linzenbach faced a relatively short circumstances. period of incarceration, not a lengthy period of incarceration where he had the ability to return to not only his family but his assets and his ability to receive not only his retirement income but maybe generate other kind of income, there would be a strong argument to be made that he's not indigent and would be able to pay the cost of his own defense.

But in light of the severe nature of his conviction --The interpreter respectfully THE INTERPRETER: requests that counsel please slow down as the interpreter is having a hard time following at this speed.

> MR. BRYAN: I apologize.

Thank you. THE INTERPRETER:

In light of his anticipated lengthy MR. BRYAN:

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

24

25

1 incarceration, we believe that under these circumstances Mr. 2 Linzenbach would qualify for a finding of indigency, and 3 therefore eligibility under the Sixth Amendment, to counsel without cost to himself. 4 5 Thank you. 6 Thank you. THE COURT: Counsel, just two quick questions before I hear from 8 Mr. Linzenbach. 9 Well, perhaps I should ask him directly. I'll just ask him in a moment. 10 11 Mr. Linzenbach, any statement you wish to make on your 12 own behalf? 13 THE DEFENDANT: (Through Interpreter) I know what 14 I did was terribly wrong. I cannot explain why I did what I 15 I do, however, acknowledge that what I did was 16 extremely wrong. I am committed to never again behave in 17 this manner. 18 I will seek and take advantage of any and all 19 counseling, both in the United States to the best of my 2.0 English ability, and in Germany, to make sure I address the 21 psychological issues that led to my behavior. 2.2 I accept full responsibility for my actions. I 23 apologize to the United States Government and to the Court 24 for my conduct.

I also deeply apologize to my wife and daughters for

25

| 1  | the pain and shame that I have caused them. And still,       |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | regardless of anything, they continue to stand behind me.    |  |
| 3  | That's all.  |  |
| 4  | THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. Just two               |  |
| 5  | questions. How old is your wife?                             |  |
| 6  | THE DEFENDANT: (Through Interpreter) 63.                     |  |
| 7  | THE COURT: The same age as yourself?                         |  |
| 8  | THE DEFENDANT: (Through Interpreter) She's ten               |  |
| 9  | days younger.  |  |
| 10 | THE COURT: And she worked with you in your                   |  |
| 11 | medical practice?  |  |
| 12 | THE DEFENDANT: (Through Interpreter) Until two               |  |
| 13 | years prior to my retirement, yes.                           |  |
| 14 | THE COURT: And she then retired at the same time             |  |
| 15 | as you did?  |  |
| 16 | THE DEFENDANT: (Through Interpreter) This is when            |  |
| 17 | she stopped working, and she did not take up any other       |  |
| 18 | employment.  |  |
| 19 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you.                             |  |
| 20 | What is the Government's position, please?                   |  |
| 21 | MS. SKUTNIK: Your Honor, as it relates to Mr.                |  |
| 22 | Linzenbach's sentence, the Government has very little to add |  |
| 23 | beyond what is reflected in the plea agreement.              |  |
| 24 | We believe that the term of 15 years incarceration is        |  |
| 25 | consistent with the guideline calculation for the offenses   |  |

for which Mr. Linzenbach pled quilty.

2.0

2.2

The only thing I would add, and it is prompted by counsel's indication that there was no pornography found on the laptop that Mr. Linzenbach brought to Cleveland, and I would simply, while agreeing with that statement, add that Mr. Linzenbach found the advertisement for the undercover operation for which he — which is included in this indictment, or which he participated in, in a European chat room which is specifically for the purpose of trading child pornography.

So I didn't want it to go unsaid or to suggest or to allow counsel to suggest that Mr. Linzenbach doesn't display any other indicators or suggestions of interest in sexual exploitation of children.

Having said that, I'll move on to the issue of Mr. Linzenbach's financial situation. And I would simply like to place on the record that following the previous hearing, the Government did inquire of the Office of International Affairs with the representative for Germany, a woman by the name of Linda McKinney, who indicated that it would take approximately six to twelve months for the Government to be able to accomplish a request for financial information through an MLAT, which seems unreasonable in the current circumstances.

However, the Government did attempt to obtain

additional information. We provided the probation department, defense counsel, and the Court with some bank documents and an insurance letter that we obtained through the Attache's Office in Germany with the Department of Homeland Security as well as a bank statement that the defendant provided at the time that he obtained his visa to establish that he had significant resources, was not an indigent individual when he applied for a visa to come to the United States and to go to flight school in Florida.

So, having said that, Your Honor, the Government defers to the Court on a determination as to whether or not Mr. Linzenbach is indigent.

We are disappointed that we were limited in our ability to obtain financial documents, and what I find to be noticeably absent from the financial information here is an accounting for his bank account, which is referenced as the source of a transfer to his wife's bank account for the amount of 6,000 euros.

The Government has no further information to offer to the Court for determination on that issue, Your Honor.

Thank you.

2.0

2.2

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Just perhaps one housekeeping matter. We did review in-camera, in the presence of counsel for the defendant along with the defendant and counsel for the Government, in

the presence of an interpreter, certain financial documents from Germany. My only query is how we make them part of the record in the case so that they are there for consideration in the event there is any appeal.

2.0

2.2

I can only think of one of two ways, and that is asking the interpreter to read them or summarize them into the record, or in the alternative, to ask her at some later time to review them and submit to us a summary of those documents.

Does either side have any suggestions or position regarding that matter?

MR. BRYAN: On behalf of Mr. Linzenbach, Your Honor, I think the latter suggestion would be most appropriate and would be even -- could even be delayed by 14 days which is the period of time that Mr. Linzenbach would have to file a notice of appeal.

It is Mr. Linzenbach's desire not to delay a potential treaty transfer, so I doubt if Mr. Linzenbach will be -- well, I don't want to speak to that without speaking to him. But I think that 14-day window should give us enough time to make that determination. And if there is an order that after that, that she -- that the interpreter interpret everything for the record, I think that would be appropriate.

THE COURT: My only concern is the amount of time

it may take and the cost and expense. The interpreter's fees, as she is extremely well-qualified and certainly entitled to be paid, but they are now in excess of \$10,000. So the additional cost and time is also an additional burden that, again, generally the taxpayers must bear. That is not a cost that I can assess as against Mr. Linzenbach, I do not believe.

2.0

2.2

So I am constrained to believe, however, that that is something that needs to be addressed so that there is an adequate appellate record for any reviewing court.

All right. Having said that, for the record, the Court would note that I have carefully considered the presentence investigation report, all the matters related to sentencing. Given the nature of the parties' agreement and the Court's stated intention as it relates to sentencing, I will summarize in some respects my oral pronouncement of sentence.

The nature and circumstances of the offense are adequately described in the presentence report and the parties' plea agreement as it relates to offense conduct.

I would incorporate by reference the presentence report paragraphs 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 as well as the factual basis of the plea, which set forth in detail the conduct upon which this plea is based and the conduct that brings the defendant before this Court.

The history and characteristics of the defendant are as follows: The defendant is a 63-year citizen of Germany with no prior juvenile or adult convictions. There are no signs of violence in his past. And he did not report suffering from any type of abuse.

2.0

2.2

He is a college graduate and obtained his medical degree in Germany where he reported operating his own medical practice for the past 25 years.

He reported no substance abuse issues and indicated he has an occasional beer with friends.

The defendant is married with two adult children who reside in Germany.

The kinds of sentences available. I have previously outlined the statutory terms of imprisonment for each of the three counts and referenced the mandatory minimum of 180 months.

There are no known disparities between this defendant and other defendants with similar records and conduct.

As it relates to the need for the sentence imposed, this case represents an individual with no prior criminal record. He is a citizen of Germany who recently retired from operating his own medical practice for the past 25 years.

However, this defendant paid a \$100 deposit to reserve two minor children in the United States. Although he had

other business here in the United States, that being flight school, the defendant came here to have sex with the children he reserved and paid an additional \$1,600 in U.S. currency when he arrived.

2.0

2.2

Although this was an undercover operation, this defendant was not aware of that and believed he was paying to have sex with children, and he came from another country to engage in this act which shows the defendant is willing to travel to extreme lengths to engage in this conduct.

This behavior may make this defendant a danger to children in the community and elsewhere.

However, the defendant has no prior record, has lived a law-abiding life prior to this conduct, and maintained a long-standing medical practice.

Therefore, the parties' agreement and the minimum statute -- minimum sentence required by statute appears to be sufficient but not greater than necessary.

For those reasons the Court will do the following:

Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 and 18 United

States Code, Section 3553(a), it is the judgment of the

Court that the defendant, Otto Linzenbach, is hereby

committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be

imprisoned for a term of 180 months on each count to be

served concurrently.

Supervised release. Upon release from imprisonment,

the defendant shall be placed on supervised release for a term of six years. This term consists of terms of six years on each of Counts 1, 2 and 3. All such terms to run concurrently.

2.0

2.2

Should the defendant remain in this country, within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, he shall report in person to the U.S. probation office in the sentencing district or in the district to which the defendant is released.

As it relates to the cost of his defense, the Court does find by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant does have the ability to pay at least in part for services rendered by the Federal Defender's Office in this matter.

I make that finding based upon the following: It appears there is no dispute that the defendant owns certain real estate valued at approximately \$300,000, or \$352,000 according to the report, free and clear. It is unencumbered.

His pensions approximate nearly \$4,300 a month. He has no debt, be it credit cards, mortgage, car loans, etcetera.

I acknowledge that his wife does not work outside the home and that this sum of money is monies that she also relies upon. However, this sum was also intended to be

| 1  | monies that both spouses were to live on primarily, so that  |
|----|--|
| 2  | I do not believe she will suffer my undue hardship given the |
| 3  | defendant's absence should the Court impose some order       |
| 4  | ordering payment for attorney fees, as least in part.        |
| 5  | The Court would note generally that there appears to         |
| 6  | be   |
| 7  | THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, the interpreter                 |
| 8  | interjects by saying that the standard interpretation time   |
| 9  | is no more than a half hour alone. The interpreter has now   |
| 10 | been working for an hour and a half alone and her brain is   |
| 11 | becoming unbearably full for full interpretation.            |
| 12 | THE COURT: Do you want to take a break? We can               |
| 13 | take 20 minutes if you'd like.                               |
| 14 | THE INTERPRETER: Well, our boarding time is                  |
| 15 | 4:30. We cannot take a 20-minute break, but I think a        |
| 16 | one-minute break would suffice. I feel like I cannot do a    |
| 17 | good job for the defendant.                                  |
| 18 | THE COURT: I will summarize the rest.                        |
| 19 | THE INTERPRETER: Had we known this was going to              |
| 20 | be longer, I would have requested two interpreters.          |
| 21 | THE COURT: All right. Take as long as you would              |
| 22 | like.  |
| 23 | THE INTERPRETER: Just one minute, Your Honor.                |
| 24 | THE COURT: Tell us when you're ready.                        |
| 25 | (Pause.)   |

1 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, please continue. 2 The interpreter is ready. 3 THE COURT: Okay. I would also note any discrepancy between the defendant's financial affidavit 4 submitted at the time of his initial arraignment appears to 5 6 be driven by the fact the amounts in question on the affidavit are reflected in euros, not dollars. 8 The defendant will not pay a fine in light of the 9 Court's decision to order attorney fees in the matter. 10 For the record, the amount the Court will order is 11 \$20,000, which, based upon the billing statement submitted 12 by the Federal Defender's Office prior to today's date, at 13 \$125 an hour, the amount in question would be approximately 14 \$28,000. 15 Of course, additional time will apply in this matter. 16 There will be no fine. 17 A special assessment of \$300 is required by federal 18 It shall be due immediately. law. 19 There will be no drug testing. This is not a 2.0 drug-related offense. 21 The standard conditions adopted by this Court will 2.2 apply with regard to supervision. 23 Counsel, the provisions of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act, the minor protection and 24 25 restriction program, computer Internet restriction, the

1 provisions related to same, will all apply. 2 Does either side object in light of the circumstances 3 with our interpreter to the Court deferring reading them into the record at this time? 4 5 MS. SKUTNIK: No objection from the Government, 6 Your Honor. THE COURT: Do you have any objection to me not 8 reading them into the record at this time? They will apply, 9 but I will not put it into the record --10 MR. BRYAN: No objection, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: -- in light of the circumstances with 12 our interpreter. 13 The defendant will be asked to cooperate in the 14 collection of DNA as required by law. 15 And then lastly, I will ask the interpreter to 16 summarize the financial documents that we previously 17 reviewed from Germany, and also ask her in some fashion to 18 review with the defendant the restrictions related to sex 19 offenders that I've just outlined so there is no 2.0 misunderstanding regarding same.

If in fact the defendant is -- additionally, the defendant shall agree and cooperate with the Department of Homeland Security in any deportation proceedings should he remain in this country.

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'll recommend, as the parties have agreed, I'll

1 recommend his transfer to his homeland to serve his 2 sentence. We will put that into the Court's order as well. 3 Any objections, corrections, to the Court's sentence under Bostick on behalf of the Government? 4 5 MS. SKUTNIK: No objection from the Government, 6 Your Honor. THE COURT: Anything I haven't covered you wish 8 me to cover briefly? 9 MS. SKUTNIK: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: On behalf of the defendant? 10 11 MR. BRYAN: No objections, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Linzenbach, finally, there 13 appears there may be only one issue for appeal under your 14 plea agreement. I have followed it other than -- I have 15 imposed in part the costs of your attorneys in this matter. 16 If you wish to file an appeal from that decision, you 17 will have 14 days from the day I reduce your sentence to writing in the form of an order. And your attorneys will 18 19 advise you further. 2.0 Is that understood? 21 THE DEFENDANT: (Through Interpreter) Yes. 2.2 THE COURT: And the sticky question, once again, 23 becomes if you are unable to afford an attorney for purposes 24 of that appeal, upon the proper showing, then I will have to 25

decide if indeed you are entitled to an attorney at no cost

| 1  | to yourself. And so it's clear for the record, that's a      |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | decision I will have to make de novo based on or             |  |
| 3  | considering the circumstances at the time of the appeal.     |  |
| 4  | All right. Anything further on behalf of either side?        |  |
| 5  | MR. BRYAN: Your Honor, Mr. Linzenbach can                    |  |
| 6  | correct me if I'm wrong about this, but I believe he         |  |
| 7  | requests that Your Honor recommend to the Bureau of Prisons  |  |
| 8  | that he be placed at Fort Dix which places him on the East   |  |
| 9  | Coast to facilitate any transfers once he is deported.       |  |
| 10 | THE COURT: We will do that. We will make that                |  |
| 11 | recommendation for him.                                      |  |
| 12 | Anything else?   |  |
| 13 | I will also, just so it's clear, I'll recommend credit       |  |
| 14 | for time served that he's been in custody.                   |  |
| 15 | Thank you, Ms. Gesse. We appreciate your service. We         |  |
| 16 | will let go. You're done.                                    |  |
| 17 | (Proceedings concluded at 3:50 p.m.)                         |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 | CERTIFICATE  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 | I certify that the forgoing is a correct transcript          |  |
| 22 | from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 | S/Caroline Mahnke 1/18/12                                    |  |
| 25 | Caroline Mahnke, RMR, CRR Date                               |  |